

To cite this article: Jahja Hamdani Widjaja* and Ratna Widiastuti (2025). Empowering the Digital Service Trade Ecosystem: The Strategic Role of Education and Inter-University Collaboration in the Asia-Pacific. International Journal of Education, Business and Economics Research (IJEBER) 5 (6): 39-47

EMPOWERING THE DIGITAL SERVICE TRADE ECOSYSTEM: THE STRATEGIC ROLE OF EDUCATION AND INTER-UNIVERSITY COLLABORATION IN THE ASIA-PACIFIC

Jahja Hamdani Widjaja^{1*} and Ratna Widiastuti²

¹²Universitas Kristen Maranatha, Department of Management,
Jl. Prof. drg. Surya Sumantri, MPH 65, Bandung, Indonesia

<https://doi.org/10.59822/IJEBER.2025.5603>

ABSTRACT

This article examines how education systems and inter-university partnerships can strategically strengthen the digital service trade ecosystem across the Asia-Pacific region and guide inclusive growth. It is grounded in the region's emergence as a central hub of global digital service trade, driven by advances in cloud computing, artificial intelligence, 5G, and e-commerce, consolidated through regional agreements such as the CPTPP, RCEP, and DEPA, and accelerated by the post-pandemic surge in digitally deliverable exports. The study adopts a literature-based a literature-based review and comparative analysis of regional collaboration architectures (AUN, UMAP, DEPA), and then subsequently proposes five strategic propositions as a Regional Curriculum Innovation Framework. Findings reveal a persistent “strategic lag” among higher education institutions (HEIs), characterized by industrial-era curricula, limited digital pedagogy, governance inertia, and skill mismatches, despite rising graduate output and corporate demand for digital competencies. The study concludes that achieving interoperability of credentials, accreditation reform, instructor professionalization, equitable digital infrastructure, diversified funding, and multi-stakeholder governance is essential to reposition HEIs from reactive adapters to proactive architects of an integrated and inclusive digital service trade landscape.

KEYWORDS: Digital Service Trade Ecosystem, Inter-university Collaboration, Curriculum Innovation, Asia-Pacific.

© The Authors 2025
Published Online: November
2025

Published by International Journal of Education, Business and Economics Research (IJEBER) (<https://ijeber.com/>) This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this license may be seen at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Asia-Pacific region plays a strategic role in shaping future architecture of global digital service trade. The Asia-Pacific region, with main contributors such as China, Singapore, Japan, and India, contribute almost one-third of global exports in digitally deliverable service by 2022 [1]. It has been driven by advances in cloud computing, the implementation of 5G networks, artificial intelligence, and the rapid expansion of e-commerce [2]. Furthermore, through key agreements such as Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP), Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP), and Digital Economy Partnership Agreement (DEPA), they have established standard rules to facilitate and secure this digital trade [3]. These rules include allowing data to flow across borders, recognizing electronic transactions, and protecting intellectual property rights, making digital trade smoother, safer, and fairer for all parties involved.

The COVID-19 pandemic has further strengthened this trend. This crisis has driven digital adoption in sectors previously reluctant to transform, increasing resilience and driving innovation. The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated digitalization in the banking, healthcare, education, and logistics sectors [4]. Firms are being forced to digitize to ensure business continuity and consumer engagement. MSMEs are also encouraged to utilize digital platforms to reach global markets, thus expanding participation in digital trade. As a result, exports of digitally deliverable services in the Asia-Pacific region increased by more than 35% between 2019 and 2022[4].

In line with developments in the economic sector, public policies have also evolved to create a supportive environment. Governments in various countries have developed national strategies to strengthen digital ecosystems while addressing issues such as data privacy, cybersecurity, and consumer protection. At the regional level, agreements such as the CPTPP, RCEP, and DEPA have facilitated regulatory harmonization and established compatible systems across countries[3]. Organization such as APEC and ASEAN also play a crucial role in harmonizing data governance and developing digital identity frameworks[4].

Education is also adapting by incorporating programming, digital literacy and ICT skills into general education curricula and vocational training [5][6]. Collaboration between higher education institutions and technology companies are expanding to prepare the future workforce with skills in data analytics, software development, and cybersecurity, enabling the benefits of digital trade to be more widely and equitably distributed.

However, access to high-quality learning remains unequal. While some countries, such as India, Japan, and South Korea, have invested heavily in accelerating digital transformation, other parts of the Asia-Pacific region continue to lag due to rigid curriculum structures [7]. Singapore, south Korea, and Australia demonstrate how flexible governance and advanced digital infrastructure can enable innovative practices, while countries like Indonesia, Vietnam, and the Philippines remain constrained by infrastructure and skills deficits [8]. Less than 40% of higher education institutions in the Asia-Pacific region have systems for modular and mutually recognized learning pathways [9]. Furthermore, employers across the region consistently report deficiencies in digital literacy, problem-solving, and teamwork skills among graduates, with over 60% of companies in developing

Asia struggling to recruit digitally skilled employees despite increasing graduate numbers [10][11]. These data show that the role and performance of higher education institutions in this region are still very uneven.

This study is significant because digital trade is a key driver of economic progress, but it can exacerbate inequality if not accompanied by adequate human resource development. This literature-based research aims to provide guidance for policymakers, higher education institutions, educators, and business stakeholders to enhance their contribution to a sustainable and regionally integrated system. This study examines how higher education systems and transnational university collaboration can effectively strengthen digital services trade in the Asia-Pacific region. It also identifies gaps in existing pedagogies and proposes curriculum reforms and the strengthening of collaborative networks, along with comprehensive policy recommendations to promote inclusive growth.

The rapid growth of the e-service economy in the Asia-Pacific region has exposed critical weaknesses in the adaptability of higher education institutions. These weaknesses stem from factors such as the use of industrial-era educational models, conceptual misunderstandings between digitization and digital transformation, human and cultural factors, and educational governance and policy structures. These critical weaknesses exacerbate inequalities in access to high-quality learning, which have far-reaching impacts on socioeconomic stratification.

Many higher education institutions remain tied to industrial-era educational models [10][7], despite large-scale transformations driven by artificial intelligence, cross-border information flows, and platform-based commerce. While standard digital skills curricula such as DigComp, which groups digital competencies into five categories: information management, communication, content creation, security, and problem-solving [12], have received international support, only 12% of higher education institutions in the Asia-Pacific have implemented them [7]. Furthermore, industrial-era educational models are characterized by rigid qualification structures, narrow disciplinary boundaries, and slow transition periods. This is highly inappropriate for the fluid, interdisciplinary, and dynamic environment of the digital economy [13]. Industrial-era education systems also tend to prioritize theoretical knowledge over relevant practical competencies. For example, employers in Malaysia noted a large gap in applied skills related to cybersecurity, cloud computing, digital marketing, and information ethics [11].

Conceptual misunderstandings between digitization and digital transformation have limited the integration of technology into learning, limiting opportunities for pedagogical innovation and negatively impacting student learning outcomes in a digital environment. Digitization simply converts physical processes into digital forms, while digital transformation involves a deeper restructuring of pedagogy, interactivity, and adaptive learning[14]. However, during the COVID-19 pandemic, many institutions limited their initiatives to superficial digitization, relying on traditional lectures streamed online, rather than adopting transformative pedagogical innovations[15]. Digital transformation should not be reduced to mere technical improvements but should be understood as a socio-technical process that demands renewed institutional leadership, sustained industry

partnerships, and changes in organizational culture. Without these elements, digital reforms will remain superficial and unsustainable[16].

Human and cultural factors play a significant role in higher education institutions' resistance to digital transformation. In China, for example, Confucian epistemology and bureaucratic traditions hinder decentralization and the adoption of pedagogies that emphasize student engagement and technology integration [17]. Lecturer reluctance often reflects more troubling conditions such as inadequate training, fear of the erosion of traditional hierarchies, and limited institutional support [17].

Educational governance and policy structures also hinder the adaptability of higher education institutions. Centralized and hierarchical decision-making models suppress distributed leadership and reduce organizational adaptability [18]. Lecturer evaluation systems that emphasize research output over teaching innovation further weaken incentives for pedagogical experimentation and curriculum reform [19]. Reliance on traditional performance metrics such as grade point averages and standardized tests fails to measure essential 21st-century competencies, including ICT skills, interdisciplinary collaboration, and creative problem-solving [11]. Furthermore, existing quality assurance systems reinforce conformity, prioritizing uniformity over instructional innovation, thus stifling experimentation in pedagogical and curriculum design [8].

The weak ability of higher education institutions to adapt risks rendering them obsolete and irrelevant in an economy that increasingly prioritized digital literacy and lifelong learning. The future role of universities in the Asia-Pacific region lies in their ability to reimagine themselves as drivers of national progress through evidence-based innovation, collaborative partnerships, and a commitment to equitable digital inclusion.

2.0 METHODS

This literature review is preliminary research and utilizes secondary data. There are several considerations for using the literature review methodology in this study: 1) the aim is to obtain a contextual overview [20] of the strategic role of higher education institutions in building a digital service trade ecosystem in the Asia-Pacific region, which is a new and strategic phenomenon for regional economic development [21]; 2) research related to this topic is still limited; 3) this study can serve as a basis for further field research [22].

The procedure for implementing this research follows several stages: 1) the planning stage (establishing the study protocol by determining guiding questions, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and search keywords such as “digital service trade” and “inter-university collaboration”); 2) the search stage (conducting a systematic literature search in formal academic/institutional databases such as Scopus, Google Scholar, and ESCAP); 3) the selection and evaluation stage (selecting literature based on predetermined criteria and evaluating its quality); 4) analysis and synthesis stage (the collected data is analyzed thematically to identify patterns relationships, and central themes regarding the strategic role of higher education).

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The development of digital service trade in the Asia-Pacific region demands a fundamental rethinking of the learning paradigm in higher education institutions. As the economy increasingly shifts toward a knowledge- and service-based sector, higher education institutions need to adopt flexible learning structures aligned with the needs of the digital economy [13], rather than relying on industrial-era frameworks. This requires an intelligent learning framework that encourages autonomy and interoperability, is service-oriented, has real-time labor market insights, and engages with industry [23]. Therefore, higher education institutions need to be more open, build strong partnerships and other universities, and innovate their curricula.

Higher education institutions play a strategic role in building a digital service trade ecosystem in the Asia-Pacific. Supporting this role requires regional initiatives such as the ASEAN University Network (AUN), University Mobility in Asia and The Pacific (UMAP), and the Digital Economy Partnership Agreement (DEPA). Each of these institutions has a distinct strategic role. AUN provides a foundation for integration by establishing a common foundation and rules of engagement, enabling degrees from one country to be recognized in another, and allowing students to transfer more easily [24]. UMAP provides practical experience for students through student exchange programs, enabling them to understand other cultures and improve their language skills [25]. DEPA connects higher education institutions with the workforce by ensuring that the knowledge taught on campus aligns with industry needs in the digital era, such as skills in technology, coding, or e-commerce [26]. This can be further illustrated in table 1.

Table 1: A Comparative Analysis of the Roles of AUN, UMAP, and DEPA in Inter-University Collaboration

Institution	Main Role	Key Instrument	Contribution to Transnational University Collaboration
AUN	Promote regional integration and harmonization of higher education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ASEAN Credit Transfer System (ACTS) - Curriculum harmonization framework - Collaborative research network 	Facilitating student and lecturer mobility, enabling cross-border credit recognition, and advancing academic standardization with ASEAN
UMAP	Enhancing academic mobility and cross-cultural competence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Standardized administrative procedures - Shared Learning Management System (LMS) - Virtual mobility platform 	Expanding accessibility to international learning opportunities and encouraging comparative studies and project-based collaboration among Asia-Pacific institutions
DEPA	Integrating digital	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - mutual recognition 	Promote technology interoperability, support the development of digital

trade	digital	standards, and connect higher education
governanc	qualifications	with the digital economy regulatory
e with	- MOOC and	framework
higher	micro-credential	
education	framework	
collaborati	- Policyalignment to	
on	n AI governance	
	and digital law	

Source: [24][25][26]

In addition to institutional support to strengthen transnational university collaboration, this study proposes three areas for development. First, the development of a technological infrastructure that connects all campuses, such as a shared online learning system for sharing course materials, a shared database for storing research results and academic data, and a virtual center of excellence [27], a kind of “virtual campus” where experts from different countries can collaborate in important fields such as cybersecurity and financial technology. This infrastructure development needs to be based on shared technical standards for the entire region to avoid incompatible systems or differing quality standards between countries. This initiative also requires funding, especially to support campuses that are still lagging in terms of technological infrastructure.

Second, developing new teaching methods, namely learning by doing. This new teaching method requires curriculum co-design with industry. This new method will also be enriched by the implementation of virtual global classrooms where students from various countries work together on real-life projects [28][29]. For example, the ASEAN Cyber University and the UMAP-COIL program not only train students but also improve the quality of the campus itself.

Third, higher education institutions need to develop themselves to have a broader impact, from education to the economy. Educational collaboration, such as transnational university collaboration, is not only for campuses but also serves as a tool for diplomacy and strengthening the regional economy. The success of higher education institutions in producing graduates with digital literacy and ICT skills will be a strategic factor in building a digital service trade ecosystem in the Asia-Pacific region.

Ultimately, for this collaboration to be broad and sustainable, efforts are needed to standardize regional accreditation standards, fund the creation of joint curricula across countries, develop online learning platforms that support multiple languages, increase student exchange scholarships, and establish joint research centers focused on the digital economy.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The future of the digital economy in the Asia-Pacific region depends not only on the market but also on the ability of universities to become centers of collaboration that design resilient, inclusive, and future-ready education systems. Finally, this study proposes conducting field research on issues/policies that hinder the establishment of educational standards, including the recognition of

micro-credentials in the Asia-Pacific region. Furthermore, field research is needed to develop appropriate financing schemes to support the creation of joint curricula across countries.

Acknowledgement

The authors express their appreciations to Maranatha Christian (MCU) University for giving them a platform to conduct this research. We would like to acknowledge helpful and valuable comments of the outside reviewers to our drafts that have improved a lot the quality of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP), Economic Commission for Africa (ECA), and Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), “Regional Digital Trade Integration Index 2.0: A Guide,” United Nations, Bangkok, Jun. 2024. Accessed: Jul. 14, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12870/6849>
- [2] N. Mishra and A. M. P. Valencia, “Digital services and digital trade in the Asia pacific: an alternative model for digital integration?,” *Asia Pacific Law Review*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 489–513, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.1080/10192557.2023.2216058.
- [3] M. N. Rahman and N. Rahman, “Exploring digital trade provisions in Regional Trade Agreements (RTAs) in times of crisis: India and Asia-Pacific countries,” *Asia and the Global Economy*, vol. 2, no. 2, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.aglobe.2022.100036.
- [4] Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC), “Economic Impact of Adopting Digital Trade Rules: Evidence from APEC Member Economies,” Mar. 2023. Accessed: Nov. 12, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.apec.org/publications/2023/04/economic-impact-of-adopting-digital-trade-rules-evidence-from-apec-member-economies>
- [5] P. Listiaji and S. Subhan, “PENGARUH PEMBELAJARAN LITERASI DIGITAL PADA KOMPETENSI TEKNOLOGI INFORMASI DAN KOMUNIKASI (TIK) CALON GURU,” *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 107–116, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.24832/jpnk.v6i1.1948.
- [6] L. Tong, “The Impact of the EU GDPR Implementation on China’s Digital Service Trade Exports,” *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*, vol. 201, no. 1, pp. 74–82, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.ld25045.
- [7] N. Baroy, “Digital skilling in Asia and the Pacific: efforts of Asia-Pacific’s corporate sector,” 2023. [Online]. Available: www.asiafoundation.org.
- [8] H. N. Ngo and A. N. Q. Phan, “COVID-19 and higher education in Vietnam: Systematically rethinking the quality assurance system and practices towards the ‘new normal’ in post-crisis era,” *Policy Futures in Education*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 355–371, 2022, doi: 10.1177/14782103221095924.
- [9] Francois. Staring, Mark. Brown, Paul. Bacsich, and D. Ifenthaler, “Digital higher education: Emerging quality standards, practices and supports (OECD Education Working Papers No.281),” Nov. 2022. doi: 10.1787/f622f257-en.
- [10] World Bank Group, *Services Unbound: Digital Technologies and Policy Reform in East Asia and Pacific*. Washington DC: International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank, 2024.

- [11] P. K. Tee, L. C. Wong, M. Dada, B. L. Song, and C. P. Ng, "Demand for digital skills, skill gaps and graduate employability: Evidence from employers in Malaysia," *F1000Res*, vol. 13, 2024, doi: 10.12688/f1000research.148514.1.
- [12] R. Vuorikari, S. Kluzer, and Y. Punie, "DigComp 2.2 - The Digital Competence Framework for Citizens," Mar. 2022. doi: 10.2760/115376.
- [13] C. Smith, "Bridging the Digital Skills Gap with a Focused Student Initiative," *Pedagogy: The LTEC Learning and Teaching Showcase*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.57898/pedagogy.267.
- [14] B. Bygstad, E. Øvrelid, S. Ludvigsen, and M. Dæhlen, "From dual digitalization to digital learning space: Exploring the digital transformation of higher education," *Comput Educ*, vol. 182, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104463.
- [15] A. Vale, A. Martins, and N. Coimbra, "The Experience of Remote Teaching in Higher Education: A Scenario of Challenges and Opportunities," *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 8–17, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.33423/jhetp.v23i2.5805.
- [16] I. R. Gafurov, M. R. Safiullin, E. M. Akhmetshin, A. R. Gapsalamov, and V. L. Vasilev, "Change of the higher education paradigm in the context of digital transformation: From resource management to access control," *International Journal of Higher Education*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 71–85, 2020, doi: 10.5430/ijhe.v9n3p71.
- [17] X. Miao, A. Y. Dawod, and A. Phaphuangwittayakul, "The Implications, Challenges, and Pathways of Digital Transformation of University Education in China," in *Toward Sustainable Development Goals: Transformation and Beyond*, Chiang Mai, Feb. 2023, pp. 293–312. Accessed: Nov. 11, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://ticc.icdi.cmu.ac.th/Files/paper/Paper%2024.pdf>
- [18] A. J. Singun, "Unveiling the barriers to digital transformation in higher education institutions: a systematic literature review," *Discover Education*, vol. 4, no. 1, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s44217-025-00430-9.
- [19] T. D. Anderson, G. Ogruk-Maz, and T. J. Bell III, "Enhancing Digital Literacy in Higher Education: A Comprehensive Analysis of Digital Skill Development Among College Students," *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice*, vol. 25, no. 3, p. 1, 2025, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.5029695.
- [20] H. Snyder, "Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines," *J Bus Res*, vol. 104, pp. 333–339, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039.
- [21] G. A. Bowen, "Document analysis as a qualitative research method," *Qualitative Research Journal*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 27–40, 2009, doi: 10.3316/QRJ0902027.
- [22] Y. Xiao and M. Watson, "Guidance on Conducting a Systematic Literature Review," *J Plan Educ Res*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 1–20, 2017, doi: 10.1177/0739456X17723971.
- [23] S. Ahmad, S. Umirzakova, G. Mujtaba, M. S. Amin, and T. Whangbo, "Education 5.0: Requirements, enabling technologies, and future directions," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15846*, 2023, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2307.15846.
- [24] C. Dhirahiti, "The ASEAN University Network," 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://digest.headfoundation.org/2022/11/25/the-asean-university-network/>
- [25] G. Atherton *et al.*, "Study on enhancing intra-ASEAN university student mobility," 2020. Accessed: Aug. 01, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://connectivity.asean.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Study-on-Enhancing-Intra-ASEAN-University-Student-Mobility_Final.pdf

- [26] S. Singh, “Digital Economy Partnership Agreement and the quest for the global digital trade rule-making: Indian perspective,” *Asia Pacific Law Review*, pp. 1–27, 2025, doi: 10.1080/10192557.2025.2478395.
- [27] R. Woolley, N. Robinson-Garcia, and R. Costas, “Global research collaboration: Networks and partners in South-East Asia,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.06513*, Dec. 2017, Accessed: Nov. 11, 2025. [Online]. Available: 10.48550/arXiv.1712.06513
- [28] B. Khalid and J. Kurowska-Pysz, “Towards global citizenship–role of cross border higher education across the ASEAN region,” *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 3293–3305, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.11591/ijere.v13i5.29441.
- [29] K. Ikeda and B. L. Ahmad, “COIL/virtual exchange as a driver for highperformance international partnership building beyond the response to COVID-19,” *Higher Education in SouthEast Asia and Beyond (HESB)*, vol. 12, pp. 23–26, 2022, Accessed: Nov. 11, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://digest.headfoundation.org/2022/11/25/coil-virtual-exchange-as-a-driver-for-high-performance-international-partnership-building-beyond-the-response-to-covid-19/>

Author Profile



Jahja Hamdani Widjaja received his Bachelor’s Degree in Management in 1988 from Satya Wacana Christian University. He also obtained his Master’s Degree in Management in 1996 from Bandung Institute of Technology and Doctoral Degree in Management in 2014 at University of Indonesia. Since 2007, he has been a full-time lecturer in Department of Management, Maranatha Christian University. Additionally, he served as Head of Bachelor Program in Management at Maranatha Christian University from 2019 to 2025.



Ratna Widiastuti hold her Bachelor’s Degree in Management in 1988 from Diponegoro University and her Master’s Degree in Transportation Management in 1997 from Bandung Institute of Technology. She also obtained her Doctoral Degree in Education Administration from Indonesian Education University Bandung. Since then, she has been a dedicated full-time academician at the Department of Management, Maranatha Chrstian University where she actively engages in teaching and research. She has also held official structural roles throughout her career, including as Vice Dean of Faculty of Economic (2016-2020).